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Abstract—Causal coherence and generalized synchronization (GS) index were extracted from beat-to-beat mean intracranial pressure (ICP) and intervals between consecutive normal sinus heart beats (RR interval) that were recorded from 12 patients undergoing normal pressure hydrocephalus diagnosis. Data were organized into two groups including an ICP B-Wave group and a baseline control group. Maximal classic coherence (CC) between ICP and RR interval within [0.04, 0.15] Hz was found to be significantly greater than zero for both B-Wave and control groups with B-Wave CC greater than that of the baseline group. Causal coherence analysis further revealed that feedforward coherence due to RR interval’s effect on ICP always exists for both B-Wave and baseline ICP state and no significant difference exists between two groups. On the other hand, feedback coherence from ICP to RR interval was enhanced during the occurrence of B-Wave. This finding regarding the enhanced directional, from ICP to RR interval, coupling between ICP and RR interval was also confirmed by a modified GS measure.

Index Terms—B wave, causal spectral analysis, generalized synchronization, HRV, intracranial pressure.

I. INTRODUCTION

INTRACRANIAL pressure (ICP) is an important physiological signal for the diagnosis and management of patients of various neurosurgical and neurological diseases including brain injury, stroke and hydrocephalus. ICP is the intracranial response to cardiovascular volumetric load and, thus, contains information for characterizing the dynamics of the tightly coupled cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and cerebral blood flow (CBF) circulatory systems in both normal and diseased states. Despite the great potential of using ICP to study the above physiological systems, the most popular ICP measure used by clinicians is still its mean value over certain period of time. Hence, subtle but potentially critical information is often lost due to this simple processing of ICP signal. Encouragingly, computerized processing of ICP has become increasingly popular and sophisticated as evidenced by several recent publications [1]–[3] that introduced novel methods for ICP signal processing. These recent efforts demonstrated that much information could be extracted by an integrative analysis of ICP with other related signals including arterial blood pressure (ABP) and cerebral blood flow velocity (CBFV). These information may be pertinent to characterizing the CBF autoregulation efficiency [2] and quantifying the compliance of cerebrospinal space [3]. To continue this trend of integrative analysis of ICP, the present work aims to characterize the interdependency between ICP and heart rate variability (HRV) signals using recently introduced methods of causal spectral analysis [4]–[7] and generalized synchronization (GS) [8]–[10].

Variability of the intervals between consecutive normal sinus heart beats (RR intervals) is a widely used equivalent measure of HRV and will be used in the present work. However, RR interval, a signal reflecting heart beat dynamics, may be deceptively thought of being remotely, if at all, related to ICP that is recorded within the head (either the ventricles or the parenchyma). In fact, an integrative analysis of ICP and RR interval is well justified. First of all, elevated ICP may have profound effects on the autonomic nervous system (ANS). Both human and animal studies suggest the possibility of ICP, or related, sensory systems in the brainstem [11], [12], the hypothalamic nuclei [13] and the spinal cord [14]. These sensory systems may function as intracranial Baroreflex receptors. Markedly elevated ICP is a known cause of severe bradycardia and systolic hypertension, a well-known phenomenon called the Cushing reflex [15]. The possibility for such an ICP feedback on the functioning of the automatic nervous system has been further indicated by cardiac abnormalities associated with subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) [16] and those encountered during acute ICP increase in humans [17]–[19] and animals [20]. RR interval variability, as an indirect but sensitive measure of the ANS activity, can provide objective information regarding ICP’s effect on the functioning of ANS. Second, beat-to-beat variations of systemic ABP are affected by RR interval changes. They in sequel alter the volumetric
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load to the intracranial space. Hence, slow (less than heart rate) ICP dynamics may be related to heart beat dynamics. In summary, both cardio-cerebral and cerebro-cardiac interactions are anticipated. This inherent interactive nature between RR interval and beat-to-beat ICP justifies the adoption of analysis methods that can not only quantify the degree of interaction but also its direction. In light of this, the causal spectral analysis and the GS analysis were used for studying interaction between variations of beat-to-beat ICP and RR interval.

Causal spectral analysis was originally introduced for processing of RR interval and beat-to-beat systemic blood pressure [4]. Traditional spectral analysis methods can only compute the linear coherence between two signals as a function of frequency while the causal approach is additionally able to differentiate between the direction of coupling. This property makes it suitable for analyzing signals from coupled dynamic systems. Even though this approach assumes a linear time-invariant dynamic system for signal generation, it has been demonstrated to be effective in illustrating the complex interactions among HRV, ABP and respiratory signals [6] and in characterizing the Baroreflex effect [4]. ICP’s spectral contents are similar to those of ABP, therefore, the causal spectral analysis is expected to be applicable for analyzing RR interval and beat-to-beat ICP changes.

Measures of GS between two signals are also capable of differentiating between the direction of coupling. In addition, they can deal with both linear and nonlinear signal generation systems. A recent extension to a robust way of calculating GS from measured data has been proposed by our group [10] and shown to be useful in characterizing autoregulation status using slow spontaneous ABP and CBFV signals. This new GS measure is preferred because it was demonstrated to be more consistent than several existing GS measures, which were investigated in [21], in differentiating the direction of coupling when driver and response systems have different complexity [10]. The incorporation of this method in the present work may, thus, complement the causal spectral analysis because of its insensitivity to the linear dynamic system assumption.

As a noninvasive condition for studying the cardio-cerebral interaction, episodes of ICP B-Wave provide ideal materials for testing the above methods. ICP B-Wave was first described by Lundberg in 1960s [22] as a rhythmic spontaneous oscillation of ICP with a duration of 0.5–2 min. Oscillations with similar frequencies to ICP B-Wave have been observed in other physiological signals, both intracranial and extracranial ones. CBFV through large cerebral arteries has demonstrated B-Wave-like oscillations [23], [24]. Local CBFV at small cerebral arteries, measured using Laser Doppler, has also provided evidence for the existence of similar oscillatory activity [25], [26]. Animal studies have directly established the existence of oscillations of pial arterial diameters of cats that were synchronized with ICP B-Wave [27]. These demonstrations of B-Wave-like patterns in cerebral hemodynamic variables including CBFV and vessel diameters support the concept that ICP B-Wave is related to cerebral vascular dynamics. Given these cardio and cerebral vascular relevances of ICP B-Wave, application of the integrative analysis of ICP and RR interval signals to B-Wave episodes is particularly appropriate in validating the effectiveness of the methods on one hand and in discovering useful information regarding the interaction of ICP and RR interval variations on the other hand.

In the next section, a brief introduction of causal spectral analysis is first given followed by an introduction of a modified GS measure. A numerical experiment on the modified GS measure will be first introduced and then results from applying these two analytical methods to patient data will be presented in Section Results. Finally, evaluation of the findings in the present work, the pathophysiological implications of characterizing interdependency between ICP and RR interval, and some methodological issues will follow in Section Discussion.

II. METHODS

A. Causal Spectral Analysis

1) Bivariate Autoregressive Model and Spectral Analysis: Causal spectral analysis starts with the classic parametric cross-spectral analysis. A bivariate autoregressive model (AR) is used to model a vector time series $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$x_n = \sum_{k=0}^{p} A(k)x_{n-k} + w_n$$

(1)

where $A(k) = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}(k) & A_{12}(k) \\ A_{21}(k) & A_{22}(k) \end{bmatrix}$ is AR coefficient matrix and $p$ is the model order. $w_n$ represents a white noise series and is uncorrelated with $x$.

Given this model structure, the transfer matrix $H(f)$ in the frequency domain ($f$) can be calculated as

$$H(f) = (I - A(f))^{-1}$$

(2)

where $I$ is the identity matrix and

$$A(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} A(k)e^{-j2\pi f k}.$$  

(3)

Then the PSD matrix $P(f)$ is obtained as

$$P(f) = \begin{bmatrix} P_{11}(f) & P_{12}(f) \\ P_{21}(f) & P_{22}(f) \end{bmatrix} = H^{*}(f) \Sigma H^{T}(f).$$

(4)

where $\Sigma$ is the covariance matrix of white noise $w_n$. The classic coherence (CC) $CC(f)$ is then calculated as

$$CC(f) = \frac{|P_{12}(f)|}{\sqrt{P_{11}(f)P_{22}(f)}}.$$  

(5)

Denote $n$th sample of ICP signal as $icP_n$ and $n$th sample of RR interval as $rr_n$. $x_n$ is arranged as $[rr_n \ icP_n]$ in the present work. Therefore, the causal coherence when RR interval changes ICP without any feedback from ICP can be obtained as

$$CC_{rricP}(f) = CC(f)|_{A_{12}(i)=0, i=0,...,p}.$$  

(6)
Similarly, causal coherence when ICP drives RR interval change can be obtained as

$$\text{CC}_{\text{ICP} \rightarrow \text{RR}}(f) = \text{CC}(f) \bigg|_{A_{2:1}(i)=0, \; i=0, \ldots, p*} \tag{8}$$

It should be noted that $A(0)$ has a priori structure such that there is only one nonzero term in $A(0)$ and that it should be located at an off-diagonal position [28]. As observed clinically, a prolonged RR interval would decrease diastolic ICP and, hence, mean ICP within the same RR interval. Therefore, the nonzero term is allocated to $A_{2:1}(0)$.

2) Model Order Selection: The combined information criterion (CIC) for vector AR model as proposed in [29] was adopted in the present work for model order selection. CIC was shown to be an optimal criterion in terms of balancing the penalty of model underfit and that of model overfit. CIC was calculated according to

$$\text{CIC}(k) = N \log(\text{RES}(k)) + Nm \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1 + mw_i}{1 - mw_i} \right) \tag{9}$$

where $\text{RES}(k)$ is the determinant of the estimate covariance matrix of the residual vector of a $k$th order AR model, $m$ is the dimension of vector time series and $N$ is the total number of samples in fitting the model. $v_i$ is the finite sample variance coefficient and was given as

$$v_i = \frac{1}{N-i+1} \cdot \tag{10}$$

Based on CIC, the optimal order is determined as the $k$ where $\text{CIC}(k)$ is the minimum.

3) Detection of Zero Coherence: Based on the previous work [30], surrogate data was preferred for generating the zero coherence function for each data instance. Specifically, two univariate AR models were fitted to RR interval and beat-to-beat mean ICP series, respectively. Then multiple surrogate pairs were generated by simulating the resultant univariate AR models with different realizations of Gaussian white noise series whose variances were determined from the model fitting process. In the present work, 50 surrogate pairs were generated for each data instance and subjected to the same causal coherence analysis. The zero coherence threshold was set to 95th percentile of values calculated from surrogate data.

B. Modification of a Generalized Synchronization Measure

In this section, vectors are denoted using bold lower case and matrices are denoted using upper case letters. Let $d_{n,j}$ denote the time index of the $j$th nearest neighbor point of $x(n)$ on the trajectory $x(i), i = 1, \ldots, N_x$ and $r_{n,j}$ the time index of the $j$th nearest neighbor point of $y(n)$ on the trajectory $y(i), i = 1, \ldots, N_y$. $N_x$ and $N_y$ are the number of samples for $x$ and $y$.

Computation of a GS measure is based on comparison between a conditional neighbor and a true neighbor as originally proposed in [9]. Suppose that $x(i)$ and $y(i), i = 1, \ldots, N$ are two reconstructed trajectories from two scalar measurements of two systems, respectively, then there are four $k$-nearest neighbors for any given time instant $n$: $x(d_{n,j}), x(r_{n,j}), y(r_{n,j}),$ and $y(d_{n,j}), j = 1, \ldots, k$. The former two are true neighbors of $x$ and $y$, respectively. The last two are conditional neighbors. Based on these neighbors, various methods can be formulated to derive a single measure of the coupling strength. The $C$ measure [10] was based on obtaining a complete geometric characterization of neighborhood matrices via their singular value decomposition (SVD)

$$\begin{align*}
\mathbf{x}(r_{n,1}), \ldots, \mathbf{x}(r_{n,k}) & = \mathbf{U}_x \Sigma_x V_x^T \tag{11} \\
\mathbf{y}(r_{n,1}), \ldots, \mathbf{y}(r_{n,k}) & = \mathbf{U}_y \Sigma_y V_y^T \tag{12} \\
\mathbf{y}(d_{n,1}), \ldots, \mathbf{y}(d_{n,k}) & = \mathbf{U}_y \Sigma_{y|z} V_{y|z}^T \tag{13}
\end{align*}$$

where $m_x$ and $m_y$ are the embedding dimensions of time series $x$ and $y$, respectively. In general, the condition $k > \max(m_x, m_y)$ is required. SVD of neighbor matrices reveals a complete geometric picture of them in the reconstructed phase space, which can be used for deriving a measure of coupling degree from a measure of the geometric closeness of a conditional neighbor and a true neighbor.

1) $C^a$ Measure: A particular way of measuring the geometric closeness is to compare the alignment of the principal directions. Such a measure at time $n$ can be defined succinctly as

$$S_{x|y}^a(n) = \sum_{i,j} w_{x|y}(i, j) U_x^{T}(i, ;) U_y^{T}(j, ;) \tag{15}$$

and similarly $y$’s dependency on $x$ as

$$S_{y|x}^a(n) = \sum_{i,j} w_{y|x}(i, j) U_y^{T}(i, ;) U_x^{T}(j, ;) \tag{16}$$

where $U_x^{T}(i, ;)$ denotes the transpose of the $i$th column of matrix $U_x$ and $U_y^{T}(j, ;)$ the $j$th column of $U_y$. $w_{x|y}(i, j)$ and $w_{y|x}(i, j)$ are weighting coefficient for the $i$, $j$ pair. $i$ and $j$ are matched according to a pairing algorithm. A convenient pairing algorithm is to pair columns of $U_x$ and $U_y$ based on the order of their corresponding singular values, which means that $i$ and $j$ are equal in the above definitions.

According to [2], weighting coefficients can be calculated as

$$w_{x|y}(i, j) = \frac{\sigma_x(i) + \sigma_y(j)}{\sum_{i,j} \sigma_x(i) + \sigma_y(j)} \tag{17}$$

and for $y|x$ dependency as

$$w_{y|x}(i, j) = \frac{\sigma_y(i) + \sigma_{y|x}(j)}{\sum_{i,j} \sigma_y(i) + \sigma_{y|x}(j)} \tag{18}$$

where $\sigma_x(i)$ is the $i$th diagonal entry of $\Sigma_x$ and the same notation applies to $\sigma_y(i), \sigma_x(y(j))$ and $\sigma_{y|x}(j)$.

$C_{x|y}^a$ and $C_{y|x}^a$ can then be calculated as an average of corresponding $S_{x|y}^a(n)$ and $S_{y|x}^a(n)$ for a set of reference points, respectively.

2) Neighborhood Span Comparison: SVD not only reveals the axial directions of a neighborhood matrix but also the span of each direction as its corresponding singular value. The latter information has no effect on the original definition of the $C$ measure. To gain a complete characterization of the geometric
picture, this information should be captured as well. To proceed, associated with each paired columns of $U_x$ and $U_{ylx}$, a ratio between their corresponding singular values is calculated as the degree of matching of the spans of these two directions. Hence, a similar measure to $S_{ylx}^s(n)$ can be defined as

$$S_{ylx}^s(n) = \sum_{i,j} w_{ylx}(i,j) \min \left( \frac{\sigma_{ylx}(j)}{\sigma_x(i)}, \frac{\sigma_x(i)}{\sigma_{ylx}(j)} \right). \quad (19)$$

The superscript $s$ in the above definition denotes a $S$ measure derived from comparing the span of axial directions. In the same way, $S_{ylx}^s(n)$ can be defined as

$$S_{ylx}^s(n) = \sum_{i,j} w_{ylx}(i,j) \min \left( \frac{\sigma_{ylx}(j)}{\sigma_y(i)}, \frac{\sigma_y(i)}{\sigma_{ylx}(j)} \right). \quad (20)$$

$C_{ylx}$ and $C_{ylx}^s$ can then be calculated as an average of $S_{ylx}^s(n)$ and $S_{ylx}^s(n)$ for a set of reference points, respectively.

3) Uniform Sampling of Trajectories: In this section, a uniform sampling of trajectories is introduced that is used when formulating a $k$-nearest neighbor. This is motivated for alleviating the undesired asymmetry between $C_{ylx}$ and $C_{ylx}^s$ that is caused by a nonuniform sampling of trajectories. More details regarding this problem are given in Appendix to focus on the content of this modification in this section.

To alleviate the unevenness of the number of nearest neighbor trajectories given the same number of nearest neighbor points, it is proposed that the neighborhood search be modified such that a fixed number of points are to be sampled from each trajectory that is found for requested number of neighborhood points. Hence, suppose that at a reference point $n$, $x_n$ has a larger number of neighbor trajectories than that of $y_n$, the final number of trajectories used in SVD analysis will be equal to that of $y_n$. In addition, nearest trajectories of $x_n$ will be first sampled. In situations where the number of final neighbor points is less than $\max(n_x, n_y)$, the reference point $x_n$ and $y_n$ will be excluded from the averaging process of calculating the final $C$ measure. In consequence, the effective number of neighborhood points could be different for different reference points.

C. Data Acquisition and Processing

Twelve patients who underwent pre-operative workup for diagnosing normal pressure hydrocephalus (NPH) showed prominent B-Wave episodes in their overnight recordings. Simultaneous ICP and ECG were acquired by using a mobile cart loaded with a PowerLab™ data acquisition system that interfaced with the Codman ICP Express Box and the GE bedside cardiovascular monitors. Signals were sampled at 400 Hz for a proper heart beat delineation. The insertion of ICP sensor and data acquisition were conducted with proper IRB approval and written consent from patients. Data were recorded as soon as possible after setting up the Codman ICP express box and lasted for 12 h until the morning on the second day after admission.

Overnight recordings were reviewed using the program Chart 5.0 to locate episodes of prominent B-Wave (amplitude $> 5$ mmHg). Chart was suitable for this visual inspection because of its versatile control of the temporal scale. One 5-min segment with B-Wave and one 5-min baseline segment that either preceded or followed the selected B-Wave segment were then exported for further processing. Consequently, the extracted segments were organized into two groups. The first group contains all segments with ICP B-Wave and the second group contains their corresponding baseline segments.

An automatic ICP latency analysis program [31] was used for an easy extraction of RR interval and beat-to-beat mean ICP series. This latency analysis program has a built-in safeguard for both missed and spuriously detected ECG beats. The resultant RR interval series were further visually inspected for removing any remaining outliers that were due to the presence of ectopic beats. As a quality control, counters of detected and removed beats were recorded and reported here. The resultant beat-to-beat series were then re-sampled at 2 Hz using cubic spline.

Classic coherence (CC), feedforward coherence (CC$_{f\rightarrow\text{icp}}$), and feedback coherence (CC$_{\text{icp}\rightarrow r}$) were calculated using the causal spectral analysis. The modified $C$ measure was computed using the algorithm introduced above. Respiratory modulation could affect both ICP and RR interval. Due to the lack of recordings for respiration, coherence was only evaluated at the low-frequency spectrum, ranging from 0.04 – 0.15 Hz, following the same protocol used in [7]. Specifically, CC$_{f\rightarrow\text{icp}}$ and CC$_{\text{icp}\rightarrow r}$ were evaluated at the frequency where the CC is the maximum within the predefined low-frequency range. Determination of zero coherence was done by comparing all coherence values against the corresponding zero coherence function. To calculate the modified $C$ measure, embedding parameters were determined using the same procedure in our previous study [2] for each segment.

III. RESULTS

A. Numerical Test

The modified $C$ measure and the original one were calculated for the time series that were generated, as was done in [10], from the Rossler-Lorenz system ([22] and [21]) at 11 levels of coupling strength. Four different $C$ measures were calculated that include the original $C$ measure denoted as $C_{ikl}$, the modified $C$ measure denoted as $C_{\text{new}}$ and its two components: $C^s$ and $C^n$. $C^s$ is based on the weighted ratio of axial span between a true and a conditional neighborhood while $C^n$ is based on the weighted degree of alignment of axial direction between a true and a conditional neighborhood. $C_{\text{new}}$ was calculated as an average of $C^s$ and $C^n$.

These four $C$ measures are shown in Fig. 5, which shows the alleviation of the asymmetry of the $C$ measure that is present when the two systems are not coupled. This is due to the adoption of the proposed uniform sampling of trajectories when building neighborhood matrices. Additionally, $C^s$ and $C^n$ show different behaviors: $C^s$ has a larger range for grading coupling strength; $C^n$ is more consistent in detecting the direction of coupling, i.e., the driving role of $x$ is always detectable based on $C^n$ because of $C_{ylx}^n > C_{ylx}^n$.

B. Time Domain Summaries

Time domain summary statistics for each case are listed in Table I that include mean and standard deviation of ICP and RR


TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>ICP(mmHg)</th>
<th>RR(ms)</th>
<th>Beats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B-Wave</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>B-Wave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2± 1.8</td>
<td>0.3± 0.9</td>
<td>972.1± 33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21.3± 6.0</td>
<td>4.9± 0.9</td>
<td>815.7± 46.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.5± 3.8</td>
<td>8.0± 1.0</td>
<td>968.8± 30.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.1± 2.3</td>
<td>0.7± 0.5</td>
<td>784.5± 46.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.1± 2.6</td>
<td>6.3± 0.9</td>
<td>873.8± 57.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.2± 2.4</td>
<td>0.2± 1.0</td>
<td>751.5± 47.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10.8± 4.4</td>
<td>7.8± 0.8</td>
<td>988.1± 67.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.8± 5.0</td>
<td>1.3± 1.2</td>
<td>898.3± 31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.2± 3.6</td>
<td>2.4± 1.4</td>
<td>1084.9± 74.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.8± 4.9</td>
<td>-3.5± 1.2</td>
<td>821.6± 35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>19.9± 7.3</td>
<td>6.1± 2.2</td>
<td>1099.7± 74.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>17.0± 3.5</td>
<td>13.9± 1.0</td>
<td>838.9± 27.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Characterization of Interdependency Between ICP and Heart Variability Signals

Intervals. A paired t-test indicated that mean ICP during B-Wave occurrences was significantly (p = 0.006) higher than the corresponding baseline value. This was the case for the standard deviation of ICP (p = 8E - 6), i.e., oscillations of ICP during B-Wave have larger amplitudes than those in baseline. On the other hand, there was no significant difference (p = 0.63) of average RR intervals between B-Wave and baseline but the standard deviation of RR interval was significantly higher for the B-Wave group (p = 0.0033). The number of analyzed and detected beats for each case is also shown in the table. More than 95% of detected beats were analyzed except for case No. 5 where the patient had a large number of ectopic beats at both the B-Wave and the baseline states.

B. Power Spectral Analysis

Before running the causal spectral analysis, power spectral density (PSD) was calculated for ICP and RR interval time series for each case using the Welch PSD estimation algorithm. Hanning window was used with a window length of 64 s and an overlap length of 32 s. Linear trend was removed from both time series before calculating the PSD. The group averages are shown in Fig. 1 with the mean ±STD value plotted as grey line for the B-Wave group. It can be seen from this figure that average ICP and RR interval power spectral densities of the B-Wave group are larger than those of the control group across the whole frequency spectrum. Additionally, baseline ICP and RR interval
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TABLE II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>CC_{rr\rightarrow icp}</th>
<th>CC_{icp\rightarrow rr}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B-Wave</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>B-Wave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.34*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* * is used to denote instances where the calculated coherence value is below the zero-coherence threshold.
CC: Classic coherence.
CC_{rr\rightarrow icp}: Feedforward coherence from RR interval to ICP.
CC_{icp\rightarrow rr}: Feedback coherence from ICP to RR interval.

PSDs are below the mean –S,D, threshold of the B-Wave group for frequencies below 0.05 Hz.

D. Causal Spectral Analysis

Feedforward causal coherence (CC_{rr\rightarrow icp}) and feedback causal coherence (CC_{icp\rightarrow rr}) were evaluated at the frequency where the CC was maximal within [0.04, 0.15] Hz. These values are listed in Table II. Zero coherence as determined by the zero-coherence threshold calculated using 30 surrogates are indicated with *. Results shown here clearly indicate that beat-to-beat mean ICP is highly correlated, in the frequency range of [0.04, 0.15] Hz, with RR intervals in both groups as evidenced by the nonzero CCs. Furthermore, a paired-t test shows that CCs of the B-Wave group are significantly larger than those of baseline (p = 0.0034). There is no significant difference between CC_{rr\rightarrow icp} of the B-Wave and those of the baseline group (p = 0.081). On the other hand, CC_{icp\rightarrow rr} is significantly different between two groups (p = 0.057). This result is further confirmed by a larger number of cases where CC_{icp\rightarrow rr} did not pass the zero-coherence test for the baseline group.

Figs. 2 and 3 present a typical example, using data case No. 2 of RR interval and mean ICP time series and their coherence spectra, respectively. ICP recorded at B-Wave has a higher amplitude and mean value while RR interval time series at the B-Wave state is elevated but showing similar degree of variability as compared to that at the baseline. Fig. 3 shows that both CC and CC_{rr\rightarrow icp} spectra are significantly larger than their corresponding zero coherence threshold within [0.04, 0.15] Hz while CC_{icp\rightarrow rr} is only significantly larger than zero for the B-Wave group.

E. Nonlinear GS Measure

The C^a and the C^S measures were calculated with embedding parameters automatically determined using the procedure described in [2]. The embedding dimension, thus, defined was 7 for both ICP and RR interval. The time delay was in the range of 2–3 s amounting to 4–6 number of samples. The number of neighbor points was 25. Varying this number was found to only affect the absolute value of the calculated C measures. Table III lists the modified C^a and C^S measures for each case.

Comparison of the C^a and the C^S measures between the B-Wave and the baseline groups shows that C^a_{rr\mid icp} and C^a_{icp\mid rr} are significantly larger for the B-Wave group, p = 0.011 and p = 1.0E–5, respectively, while C^S_{rr\mid icp} and C^S_{icp\mid rr} do not
Fig. 3. Classic coherence (CC), feedforward coherence (C_{rr ICCP}), and feedback coherence (C_{ICP ICCP}) of the case No.2 are shown on panels A, B, and C, respectively. Coherence curves for the B-Wave group are shown with solid line with their corresponding zero-coherence function curves shown in grey. Dotted line and its grey version are used for showing coherence and zero-coherence curves for the baseline group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE III</th>
<th>LIST OF THE MODIFIED C MEASURE OF GENERALIZED SYNCHRONIZATION FOR EACH CASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( C_{rr ICCP}^s )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-Wave</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( C_{rr ICCP}^s \): Interdependence of RR interval on ICP based on axial span matching of neighborhoods.

\( C_{rr ICCP}^a \): Interdependence of ICP on RR interval based on axial span matching of neighborhoods.

\( C_{ICP ICCP}^s \): Interdependence of RR interval on ICP based on axial direction matching of neighborhoods.

\( C_{ICP ICCP}^a \): Interdependence of ICP on RR interval based on axial direction matching of neighborhoods.

show significant difference, \( p = 0.32 \) and \( p = 0.09 \), respectively.

In addition, asymmetry between \( C_{ICP ICCP}^s \) and \( C_{ICP ICCP}^a \) is not significant for both the B-Wave group and the baseline one, \( p = 0.11 \) and \( p = 0.26 \), respectively. On the other hand, \( C_{ICP ICCP}^a \) is significantly larger than \( C_{ICP ICCP}^s \), for both the B-Wave and the baseline groups, \( p = 7.2E-4 \) and \( p = 0.022 \), respectively.

IV. DISCUSSION

The relationship between beat-to-beat mean ICP and RR interval signals was investigated in the present work using two recent methods. The maximal coherence between them was found to be significant for both the baseline and the B-Wave groups within the frequency range of \([0.04, 0.15]\) Hz. The adoption of
two new interdependency analysis methods revealed additional information regarding the directionality of the coupling between ICP and RR interval, especially the existence of a possible feedback effect from ICP to RR interval.

A. Information Revealed by the Analysis

The linear causal spectral analysis showed that no significant difference of the feedforward coherence of RR to ICP exists between the B-Wave and the baseline group. This suggests that the underlying influential mechanism of RR interval fluctuations on beat-to-beat ICP changes probably stays stationary at both the B-Wave and the baseline states. On the other hand, the feedback coherence of ICP to RR is significantly higher for the B-Wave group. Furthermore, it is more frequently to have significant feedback coherence for the B-Wave group. These results suggest a possible lack of influence from ICP on RR interval dynamics at baseline but possible enhanced influence during occurrence of B-Wave.

The nonlinear analysis using the modified $C$ measure of GS produced essentially compatible results as the linear causal analysis did. Numerical test of $C^u$ and $C^s$ indicated that $C^u$ is more consistent in detecting the dominant direction of coupling. Therefore, the fact that $C_{u|icp}^u > C_{r|icp}^{u}$ is more significant ($p = 7.2E - 4$) for the B-Wave group as compared to the baseline group ($p = 0.022$) suggests that ICP plays a more significant driving role for the B-Wave group. This is directly compatible to the results from the linear causal coherence. On the other hand, $C_{r|icp}^{s}$ is not significantly different from $C_{r|icp}^{u}$ for both groups. This may be caused by $C^{s}$’s inferior capability of detecting the direction of coupling. Furthermore, $C_{u|icp}^u$ for the B-Wave group is significantly larger than that of the baseline group further supporting an enhanced influence from ICP to RR during occurrence of B-Wave. While the hypothesis of a larger $C_{icp|r}^{s}$ for the B-Wave group as compared to the baseline group did not achieve a significant $p$ value ($p = 0.09$), it is suggestive of the same finding as reached based on $C_{icp|r}^u$.

One major different finding between the nonlinear and linear causal spectral analysis concerns that $C_{r|icp}^{s}$ is significantly larger for the B-Wave group and that no significant difference of $C_{icp|rr}^{u}$ between the B-Wave and the baseline groups is found. One possible explanation is that $C^{s}$ measure characterizes interdependency from RR to ICP using the information from the whole frequency spectrum while the finding based on $C_{icp|rr}^{u}$ is limited within [0.04, 0.15] Hz. This hypothesis could be tested in future by extending the frequency range under investigation to cover respiratory modulations preferably with simultaneous recording of respiration activity. Alternatively, a band pass filter with a matching frequency band could be applied to signals before conducting the analysis of GS. Apart from the explanation concerning different frequency range, presence of potential nonlinear dynamic interaction between ICP and RR interval signals, which is not captured by linear coherence measures could result in larger $C_{r|icp}^{u}$ for the B-Wave group. On the other hand, the reason why $C_{r|icp}^{s}$ did not show significant difference between the two groups may be due to the fact that $C^{s}$ is sensitive of the difference of interdependency while $C^{u}$ is more consistent in detecting the direction of coupling.

B. Physiological Interpretation of Coherence Between ICP and RR Interval

The feedforward effect from RR interval to ICP is expected as the longer the RR interval is, the smaller the diastolic value of ICP pulse is. This would then translate into the observed nonzero coherence between the ICP and the RR interval. Both the causal spectral analysis and the GS revealed the existence of a potential feedback effect from ICP to RR interval and that this feedback effect is enhanced during the occurrence of B-Wave. This phenomenon, to our knowledge, has not been reported in the existing publications. However, explanation of this feedback effect is not straightforward from the present study. It is possible that this feedback effect is not completely mediated by ICP because changes of beat-to-beat ICP may follow those of systemic ABP. Consequently, the apparent feedback effect from ICP to RR interval could be attributed to that of ABP on RR interval, e.g., the Baroreflex. Additionally, respiratory modulation on ICP and RR interval could be another confounding factor even though we have chosen the frequency of interest below 0.15 Hz.

Lack of ABP measurement in the present work prevented us from drawing a conclusive judgement on whether ICP’s feedback effect on RR interval exists, however, abundant evidence of central organization of cardiovascular rhythms including RR interval variability exists in literatures that conducted integrative analysis of HRV and other signals other than ICP. These, thus, indirectly support a potential role that ICP may play in influencing the control of RR interval variability that originates from central nervous systems. For example, it was reported in [32] that discharge of medullary neurons was showing low-frequency rhythmic patterns synchronized with that in HRV while no such low-frequency oscillations exist in simultaneously recorded ABP signals. This suggested that low-frequency HRV oscillations are not necessarily associated with the functional integrity of the baroreceptive mechanism. Furthermore, it is known that in some conditions, e.g., experimental myocardial ischemia low-frequency increase in HRV could occur in the absence of ABP changes [33], [34]. Additional evidence has been obtained from studies involving HRV and direct intraneural microneurographic recordings of efferent sympathetic nerve traffic to muscle blood vessels (MSNA) and skin blood vessels (SSNA) [35] where synchronous changes in both low-frequency and high-frequency components of both MSNA and RR interval variabilities were found.

In summary, cardiac abnormalities associated with some pathological cerebral vascular conditions such as SAH [16], intracranial hypertension [17]–[19] and the modulation of RR interval through centrally organized neural control are supportive of the observed feedback coherence from beat-to-beat ICP to RR interval variabilities. Nevertheless, the confounding effect of ABP variability as well as respiratory influences could not be excluded. Further study of interaction of ICP, HRV, and other related signals is needed.

C. Methodological Issues

The present work also compares two new methodologies of characterizing interdependency between two signals. The linear
causal spectral analysis has the advantage of resolving the coherence in the frequency domain such that an appropriate frequency range could be selectively studied, e.g., the exclusion of the higher frequency for avoiding confounding effect from respiration. The causal spectral analysis was done using the linear parametric AR model. Hence, any deviation from the modeling assumptions could potentially invalidate the results. The modified $C$ measure of characterizing the GS is a model-independent approach and, thus, is free from the assumption of linearity. Apparently, it integrates coherence from the whole frequency range and, thus, lacks the frequency selectivity. On the other hand, the nonstationarity is the common assumption for both methods studied here. The adaptive algorithm of causal spectral analysis is not available yet and presents an interesting direction for future research. One adaptive algorithm for calculating the $C$ measure was proposed recently by us and could be extended to calculate the modified $C$ measure introduced here. The application of these adaptive algorithms could be used to study time-varying interdependency between ICP and RR interval and the development of B-Wave, in particular.

We have used a 5-min window, a common choice in HRV studies, for both basal and B-Wave groups. More robust results with a longer but stationary window could be obtained for the B-Wave group since the 5-min window, containing only five cycles of oscillation for a record with a period of 1 min, may be a limiting factor.

APPENDIX

To demonstrate the necessity of uniformly sampling trajectories, a numerical experiment was conducted based the same coupled systems and the same numerical values used in [10]. Time series were generated using the coupled Rossler–Lorenz system where the Rossler system, as a driver, is

$$
\begin{align*}
  \dot{x}_1 &= -\alpha (x_2 + x_3) \\
  \dot{x}_2 &= \alpha (x_1 + 0.2x_2) \\
  \dot{x}_3 &= 6[0.2 + x_3(x_1 - 5.7)]
\end{align*}
$$

(21)

and the Lorenz system, as a responser, is

$$
\begin{align*}
  \dot{y}_1 &= -10(y_2 - y_1) \\
  \dot{y}_2 &= 28y_1 - y_2 - y_1y_3 + \gamma x_2^2 \\
  \dot{y}_3 &= y_1y_2 - \frac{8}{3}y_3
\end{align*}
$$

(22)

where the parameter $\gamma$ controls the coupling strength.

For the sake of following analysis, it is considered that two arrays of consecutive points are considered to belong to two different trajectories if their temporal distance is at least $\tau$ samples away, where $\tau$ is the delay time in samples for embedding the time series. Hence, one can count the number of different trajectories as covered by a neighborhood of a reference vector at time $n$. Fig. 4 gives the histogram of all embedding vectors, at an uncoupled and a coupled state, based on the number of different trajectories that their 20-nearest neighbors covered.

This figure reveals that far fewer trajectories were found for the Rossler system than for the Lorenz system given the same requested number of neighbor points when the two were not coupled. Consequently, a bigger portion of the neighbor points of the Rossler system is consecutive in time. This, hence, artificially makes the conditional neighborhood matrices of the

Fig. 4. Histogram of number of trajectories found for the $x$ and $y$ variables of the Rossler–Lorenz systems at uncoupled ($\gamma = 0$) and coupled ($\gamma = 10$) states, respectively. The number of neighborhood points for query was fixed at 40.
Lorenz time series more structured. This unevenness contributes to the undesirable asymmetry of the $C$ measure for two uncoupled systems as shown in Fig. 5(B). On the other hand, this unevenness was much less when the two systems become coupled. Therefore, the asymmetry of the $C$ measure seen in the coupled state truly reflects the fact that $x$ is a driving system.
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